

1  
2  
3  
4 **E-FILED on 9/10/07**  
5  
6  
7  
8  
9  
10  
11

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN JOSE DIVISION

|   |  |
|---|--|
| 12 HYNIX SEMICONDUCTOR INC., et al.,<br>13 Plaintiffs,<br>14 v.<br>15 RAMBUS INC.,<br>16 Defendant. | 17 No. C 00-20905 RMW<br>18 ORDER CLARIFYING AUGUST 30, 2007<br>19 CMC ORDER |
| 20 RAMBUS INC.,<br>21 Plaintiff,<br>22 v.<br>23 HYNIX SEMICONDUCTOR INC., et al.,<br>24 Defendants. | 25 No. C 05-00334 RMW  |
| 26 RAMBUS INC.,<br>27 Plaintiff,<br>28 v.<br>SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., et al.,<br>Defendants.  | 29 No. C-05-02298 RMW  |

|  |                    |
|--|--------------------|
| 1        RAMBUS INC.,<br>2                        Plaintiff,<br>3                        v.<br>4        MICRON TECHNOLOGY, INC., et al.,<br>5                        Defendants. | No. C-06-00244 RMW |
|--|--------------------|

6  
7        On August 30, 2007 this court issued an order setting limits on the number of live witnesses  
8        that each party may call in their cases-in-chief at the consolidated antitrust trial set for January 22,  
9        2008 ("August 30, 2007 Order"). The parties disagree on certain of the limits set forth in that order  
10      and seek clarification from the court. The court hereby clarifies:

11      1.       The six witnesses that each side (Rambus on one side and Hynix, Samsung, Micron  
12                and Nanya (collectively the "manufacturers") on the other) may call in their cases-in-  
13                chief are: Paul Anderson, Joel Karp, Neil Steinberg, Geoff Tate, Lester Vincent and  
14                Farhad Tabrizi. In addition, the manufacturers may call Richard Crisp. The court  
15                recognizes that its August 30, 2007 order listed Anthony Diepenbrock rather than  
16                Paul Anderson as a witness that may be called by each side. However, Rambus has  
17                since clarified, and the manufacturers do not dispute, that while Anthony  
18                Diepenbrock was listed as a common witness in an initial exchange of witness lists,  
19                he was not listed as a common witness in the revised witness lists exchanged more  
20                recently on August 28, 2007.

21      2.       In the August 30, 2007 Order, the court ordered that the manufacturers as a group  
22                may select 11 common witnesses out of the 12 proposed common witnesses. In  
23                addition, each manufacturer may have up to 3 unique witnesses selected from their  
24                proposed lists.<sup>1</sup> These limits were intended to cover all live witnesses, whether or not  
25                for the jury phase of the trial. However, on September 5, 2007, the manufacturers  
26                submitted a witness list in which they included two witnesses—Paul Anderson and  
27                Robert Kramer—beyond the limits set by the court. Although the court's August 30,

---

28      <sup>1</sup> It appears that Samsung selected only 2 unique witnesses.

1 2007 Order is without prejudice to an application for substitution or addition of  
2 witnesses upon good cause shown on application to the court, no such application  
3 was made by the manufacturers. Therefore, the manufacturers are to revise their  
4 witness list in accordance with the clarifications set forth in this order and resubmit a  
5 witness list no later than Monday, September 10, 2007.

6  
7 DATED: 9/7/07

*Ronald M. Whyte*  
8 RONALD M. WHYTE  
9 United States District Judge

10  
11  
12  
13  
14  
15  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

1 **Notice of this document has been electronically sent to:**

2 **Counsel for Rambus:**

3 Gregory P. Stone gregory.stone@mto.com  
4 Peter A. Detre detrepa@mto.com  
Carolyn Hoecker Luedtke luedtkech@mto.com  
Burton Alexander Gross grossba@mto.com  
Steven McCall Perry steven.perry@mto.com  
5 Miriam Kim miriam.kim@mto.com  
Craig N. Tolliver ctolliver@mckoolsmith.com  
Pierre J. Hubert phubert@mckoolsmith.com  
Brian K. Erickson berickson@dbllp.com

6 **Counsel for Hynix:**

7 Kenneth L. Nissly kennissly@thelenreid.com  
8 Geoffrey H. Yost gyost@thelenreid.com  
9 Susan Gregory van Keulen svankeulen@thelenreid.com  
Theodore G. Brown, III tgbrown@townsend.com  
10 Daniel J. Furniss djfurniss@townsend.com  
Jordan Trent Jones jtjones@townsend.com  
11 Patrick Lynch plynch@omm.com  
Allen Ruby aruby@rubyschofield.com

12 **Counsel for Samsung:**

13 Matthew D. Powers matthew.powers@weil.com  
14 David J. Healey david.healey@weil.com  
Edward R. Reines edward.reines@weil.com

15 **Counsel for Micron:**

16 Harold Avrum Barza halbarza@quinnmanuel.com  
Robert Jason Becher robertbecher@quinnmanuel.com  
17 John D Beynon john.beynon@weil.com  
Jared Bobrow jared.bobrow@weil.com  
Leeron Kalay leeron.kalay@weil.com

18 **Counsel for Nanya:**

19 Jason Sheffield Angell jangell@orrick.com  
Vickie L. Feeman vfeeman@orrick.com  
20 Mark Shean mshean@orrick.com  
Kai Tseng hlee@orrick.com

22 Counsel are responsible for distributing copies of this document to co-counsel that have not  
23 registered for e-filing under the court's CM/ECF program.

24 **Dated:** 9/10/07

SPT  
**Chambers of Judge Whyte**